### The LOFAR Faraday Rotation Measure Grid

Shane O'Sullivan

15 June 2023

Universidad Complutense de Madrid s.p.osullivan@ucm.es

LOFAR Surveys & Magnetism Key Science Projects <a href="https://lofar-surveys.org/">https://lofar-surveys.org/</a>, <a href="https://lofar-mksp.org/">https://lofar-mksp.org/</a>



O'Sullivan+23



LOFAR Family Meeting 2023, Olsztyn





# Cosmic magnetic fields



Planets, stars, galaxies, galaxy clusters Magnetic field properties known What is the origin of cosmic magnetism? Key science goal for the SKA Log B (G) Did 'seed fields' originate in the very early Universe (i.e. primordial)? Then amplified during structure formation Astrophysical mechanisms at later times Pollute intergalactic space through outflows (galactic & AGN) Magnetic field strength (a) z = 0Magnetic field strength (a) z = 0











LOEAR MAGNETISM Key Science Project





GUĂIX

- The construction of a wide-area "RM Grid" is a key science goal for the study of cosmic magnetism with the SKA
  - ie. a catalog of discrete radio sources with Faraday rotation measures (RMs)
  - Synchrotron emission from radio galaxies → Faraday rotation and depolarization due to cosmic magnetic fields
- □ The importance of RM studies at metre-wavelengths
  - LOFAR Two-Metre Sky Survey: 6" @ 144 MHz
    - $RM_{err} \le 0.1 rad/m^2$
  - High precision RM values  $(\Delta \lambda^2_{LoTSS} / \Delta \lambda^2_{cm} \sim 100)$
  - Unique probe of weakly magnetised, low density environments
  - Radio galaxy & blazar physics, group/cluster environments, intergalactic magnetic fields, Milky Way magnetism, pulsars







Different expected distribution of magnetic fields on the largest scales

Primordia





### Linear polarization & Faraday rotation

GUĂI





![](_page_5_Picture_0.jpeg)

MAGNETISM

What is the expected value of the Faraday Rotation Measure (RM)?

$$\mathrm{RM}_{[\mathrm{rad m}^{-2}]} = 0.812 \int_0^L n_{e \ [\mathrm{cm}^{-3}]} B_{|| \ [\mu\mathrm{G}]} \ dl \ [\mathrm{pc}]$$

- e.g. Cosmic web filament overdensity of ~50: ~10<sup>-5</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> using a path length of 1 Mpc and a magnetic field strength of 100 nG = ~1 rad/m<sup>2</sup>
- □ 1 rad/m<sup>2</sup> rotates the linear polarization angle by ~2° at cm-wavelengths, but **200° at metre-wavelengths** 
  - Easier to measure this effect at long (metre) wavelengths
  - Higher RM precision (~100x):  $\leq 0.1 \text{ rad/m}^2$
  - Use the Low Frequency Array (LOFAR)

![](_page_5_Picture_9.jpeg)

GUĂIX

![](_page_6_Figure_0.jpeg)

- $\sim$ 4.4 million sources (Shimwell et al. 2022)

120 – 168 MHz, 20" QU cubes

- DR2: 0h and 13h fields,  $5720 \text{ deg}^2$ LOFAR-LBA-MSSS LOFAR-HBA-MSSS  $10^{1}$ Sensitivity (mJy/beam)

LOFAR Two-metre Sky Survey (LoTSS)

**VLSS**r

GLEAM WENSS

 $10^{2}$ 

GUĂIX

![](_page_6_Figure_7.jpeg)

Comunidad de Madrid

6 arcsec

25 arcsec

# LOFAR polarized sources

![](_page_7_Picture_1.jpeg)

MADRID

GUĂIX

□ Linearly polarised sources rare at low frequencies due to depolarization

- wavelength-independent depolarization (vector-average over source)
  - Excellent angular resolution of LOFAR helps mitigate this (6", 0.3")
- Faraday dispersion (wavelength-dependent depolarization)

$$\mathbf{P} = p_0 e^{2i(\chi_0 + \mathrm{RM}\,\lambda^2)} e^{-2\sigma_{\mathrm{RM}}^2\lambda^4}$$

- Require very small variations in RM across the extent of emission region within the synthesized beam
  - Low gas density environments
  - Compact emission region
- High angular resolution helps resolve large fluctuations in Faraday screen

![](_page_7_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_12.jpeg)

## LOFAR depolarization

Stuardi, et al. (2020), A&A, 638, 48. arXiv:2004.05169

GUĂIX

- □ Sample of 240 GRGs (Dabhade+20): 37 sources polarized
- □ Comparison of degree of polarization at 1.4 GHz (NVSS) and 144 MHz is consistent with a small amount of Faraday depolarization ( $\sigma_{RM} < 0.3 \text{ rad/m}^2$ )
- □ Consistent with low-density ( $<10^{-5}$  cm<sup>-3</sup>) local environment, with weak magnetic fields ( $< 0.1 \mu$ G) with fluctuations on scales of 3 to 25 kpc

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Figure_0.jpeg)

# RM Grid example source

![](_page_11_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_0.jpeg)

## LoTSS DR2 RM Grid results

![](_page_13_Picture_2.jpeg)

- □ 2,461 polarized sources in 5720 deg<sup>-2</sup> sky area
  - 1 pol source per 2.3 deg<sup>-2</sup>
    - Van Eck+19: 1 per 6.2 deg<sup>-2</sup> (4.3', 570 sq deg)
    - Mulcahy+14, Neld+18: 1 per 3.3 deg<sup>-2</sup> (20", single field)
    - Herrera Ruiz+20: 1 per 1.6 deg<sup>-2</sup> (20", 6 single fields)
- Optical IDs and redshifts
  - Internal LOFAR Galaxy Zoo effort: Host galaxy ID for ~88% of sources!
    - Phot-z for 75% of ID'd sources
    - Spec-z for ~40% from literature
  - Redshift estimate for 75% of the sample: median z of 0.6
  - Median linear size of ~400 kpc
  - Median luminosity of  $\sim 5 \ge 10^{26} \text{ W/Hz}$
  - 172 known blazars (~7%)

![](_page_13_Picture_16.jpeg)

25 pulsars, with new discoveries (Sobey+22)

![](_page_13_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_19.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_20.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_0.jpeg)

### LoTSS DR2 RM Grid results

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

15

O'Sullivan, et al. (2023), MNRAS, 519 5723, arXiv:2301.07697

![](_page_14_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_0.jpeg)

## LoTSS DR2 RM Grid results

![](_page_15_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### O'Sullivan, et al. (2023), MNRAS, 519 5723, arXiv:2301.07697

#### □ NVSS RM comparison

- 37% overlap → 1,551 unique RMs
- 90% agree within  $3\sigma$
- Absence of Faraday complex sources: ideal RM Grid
- 14 sources in common with Adebahr+22
  - Apertif SVC, 56 deg<sup>2</sup>
  - Only 3 discrepant RMs
    - Two from the opposite lobe of the same source, while the other is a BL Lac
- MWA-POGS RMs consistent
  - but 5 extra polarized sources in overlap region not found by LoTSS

![](_page_15_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_16.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_17.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_2.jpeg)

O'Sullivan, et al. (2023), MNRAS, 519 5723, arXiv:2301.07697

- Higher %p for larger size, indicative of lower depolarization further from host galaxy halo/local environment
- □ Median p:
  - 0.9% at 100 kpc, 2.4% at 1 Mpc

![](_page_16_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Morphology of LoTSS RM Grid sources

- LoMorph (Mingo+19)
  - Automated morphological classification
    - <u>https://github.com/bmingo/LoMorph</u>
- Preliminary analysis for RM Grid sources
  - FRII (~40%), FRI (~20%), hybrid (~15%)
    - $\sim 2x$  more FRII than FRI, in contrast to general population of bright sources where  $\sim$ 2 to 3x more FRI than FRII
  - Small & unresolved sources ( $\sim 25\%$ )
  - Wealth of additional information
    - Integrated flux, deconvolved linear size, core-hotspot distances & angles, further classifications of "small" into FRI/II/ hybrid

![](_page_17_Figure_14.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Polarization at 6"

- Several radio galaxies show extended diffuse polarization structure
  - □ FRII, FRI and double-doubles
  - Special conditions (intrinsic?, environment?)
- Raw QU LoTSS images not deconvolved
- Need LoTSS uv-data to re-image and clean in Stokes Q and U at 6"
  - Polarization also now detected at 0.3" (cf. Reinout's talk)

Total Intensity

Polarized Intensity

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

# Next frontier: LOFAR2.0

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

□ LOFAR2.0: a series of upgrades to enhance LOFAR capabilities (2025+)

GUĂIX

20

- eg. co-observing with HBA+LBA, full sensitivity of LBA array, routine 0.3" imaging, etc.
- ILoTSS: Proposing to cover 7,000 sq deg at 0.3" to 30 uJy/beam at 150 MHz
- Matched resolution imaging with EUCLID (optical to NIR, launch 2023)
- Much greater fraction of polarized sources? Overcoming Faraday and beam depolarization

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_8.jpeg)

### The magnetised cosmic web with LOFAR

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

O'Sullivan et al. (2019)

![](_page_20_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

Carretti, Vacca, O'Sullivan, et al. (2022), MNRAS, 512, 945. arXiv:2202.04607 (Paper I)

- (R)RM vs z analysis for 1003 RMs at z < 2
- Comparison with the number of cosmic filaments identified from optical galaxy surveys along each line of sight
  - Chen+15, Carron-Duque+21
- RRM<sub>0,rms</sub> expected to increase with redshift as N<sub>f</sub><sup>1/2</sup> (Akahori & Ryu 2011)

 $\text{RRM}_0(z) = \text{RRM}_{0,f} \ N_f^{1/2}(z) + A_{\text{RRM}}$ 

■ Best-fit result gives:  $\text{RRM}_{0,f} = 0.71 \pm 0.07 \text{ rad m}^{-2}$ 

Characteristic |RM| of an individual filament

Assuming typical  $n_{e,f} \sim 10^{-5} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and mean path length through a filament  $L_f \sim 3 \text{ Mpc}$ (Cautun+14)

![](_page_21_Picture_12.jpeg)

=> average *B<sub>f</sub>* ∼ 30 nG

Or  $\sim 10$  to 50 nG at z = 0 depending on density model

![](_page_21_Figure_15.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_16.jpeg)

### LoTSS DR2: Magnetised CGM of nearby galaxies

 Residual RM vs impact parameter for 183 nearby galaxies (D<sub>median</sub> ~ 18 Mpc)

Heesen, O'Sullivan, Brüggen, et al. (2023), A&A, 670, 23. arXiv:2302.06617

- Excess RM signal at < 100 kpc, only for galaxies with high inclination angle, and sightlines close to minor axis
  - **RM** excess of  $\sim 3.7 \pm 0.9 \text{ rad/m}^2 (\sim 4\sigma)$
  - Leads to ~0.5  $\mu$ G for n<sub>e</sub> ~ 10<sup>-4</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> at ~50 kpc,  $\beta$  ~ 1 (for hot CGM)
  - Dependence on the azimuthal angle also seen in MgII absorption eg. Bouché+12
  - Median  $M_* \sim 10^9 M_{sol}$
  - Consistent with bipolar winds in simulations of massive galaxies
- Slow decrease in B(r), expected if CGM magnetised by winds and outflows
  - As seen in simulations eg. Pakmor+20

![](_page_22_Picture_11.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_12.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_0.jpeg)

Scan the QR code to download the RM Grid data and the description paper

![](_page_23_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### s.p.osullivan@ucm.es

- □ LoTSS DR2 RM Grid: O'Sullivan et al. (2023), arXiv:2301.07697
  - 2,461 RMs from extragalactic radio sources (i.e. radio-loud AGN)
  - Only  $\sim 0.2\%$  of bright sources are detected in polarization at 20"
  - Unrivalled RM precision ( $\sim 0.05 \text{ rad/m}^2$ ) & redshifts for  $\sim 79\%$  of sources
- □ LoTSS residual RM associated with cosmic web filaments
  - Consistent with magnetised WHIM, with  $B \sim 10 50 \text{ nG} (z \sim 0)$ 
    - Carretti et al. (2022a,b), arXiv:2202.04607, arXiv:2210.06220
- **RM** signal associated with magnetised CGM in nearby galaxies
  - consistent with magnetised outflows up to 100 kpc along minor axis
- □ Larger datasets in the near future
  - □ Metre-wavelengths: Full LoTSS RM Grid at 6" (4x area, ~3x resolution)
  - LOFAR2.0: ILoTSS RM Grid at 0.3"
  - Complemented by cm-wavelengths: VLASS, APERTIF (LoTSS overlap) ASKAP-POSSUM & MeerKAT (southern sky)

![](_page_23_Picture_18.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Picture_20.jpeg)