





Plasma structures in the ionosphere inferred from LOFAR observations of A-team radio sources

Alan Wood¹, Gareth Dorrian¹, Ben Boyde¹ and Richard Fallows²

 1 Space Environment and Radio Engineering (SERENE) group, University of Birmingham, UK 2 Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, UK

A four year research programme supported by the Leverhulme Trust (RPG-2020-140)



Plasma Structures in the Mid-Latitude Ionosphere

Observations of natural radio sources







Image Credit: Gre de Bruyn, Astron

Uniform Plasma Density: Refraction







Plasma Structures in the Mid-Latitude









Time





14th July 2018: Cygnus A: LOFAR



TRUST

14th July 2018: 17:00-18:00 UT: Station RS508

Plasma Structures in the Mid-Latitude Ionosphere

Observations inferred from LOFAR at 10-80 MHz & 110-250 MHz









Image Credit: Astron

LEVERHULME

TRUST

Cygnus A: 14th July 2018 A sporadic-E layer in the ionosphere

ØC





14th July 2018: Cygnus A: LOFAR



TRUST

14th July 2018: 17:00-18:00 UT: Station RS508

Cygnus A: LOFAR Observations Source Az: 69° El: 37°



Cygnus A: LOFAR Core

Source Az: 69° El: 37° North east of station



Juliusruh Ionosonde



Observe plasma density enhancement between 17 UT and 18 UT

Interpret as Sporadic-E









Juliusruh Ionosonde

Sporadic-E

Height: ~120 km

Density: ~3.3x1011 m-3

(Min: 2.5x10¹¹ m⁻³; Max: 4.6x10¹¹ m⁻³)



Juliusruh Ionosonde: 14th July 2018: foEs











Modelling: 14^{th} July 2018



Use a Gaussian to model a density variation of $\sim 2 \times 10^{11}$ m⁻³ in a layer ~ 10 km thick

- 1. Amplitude of Gaussian in terms of phase change, 1.7x10⁹ rad Hz
- 2. Standard deviation of Gaussian, 1 km
- 3. Altitude, 120 km
- 4. Elevation of source, 37 degrees
- 5. Velocity of plasma, 20 m/s

Model assumes that the path to the source is perpendicular to the phase screen







Cygnus A: 15th September 2018 A Small-Scale Travelling Ionospheric Disturbance (SSTID) Work by Ben Boyde

 \bigcirc





Small Scale (λ <30km) TID



A Simple Phase Screen Model

Treat the ionosphere as a thin phase screen containing sinusoidal variation, representing a Travelling Ionospheric Disturbance (TID)

LEVERHULME

TRUST



Meyer-Vernet, N. (1980). On a day-time ionospheric effect on some radio intensity measurements and interferometry. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, *84*, 142-147.





Comparing Model and Observations



A Simple Phase Screen Model

Treat the ionosphere as a thin phase screen containing sinusoidal variation, representing a Travelling Ionospheric Disturbance (TID)



0.0

0.2

0.4

TRUST

0.6

LEVERHULME

0.8

1.0





Comparing Model and Observations





Available online at: www.swsc-journal.org

OPEN ∂ ACCESS

Research Article

Lensing from small-scale travelling ionospheric disturbances observed using LOFAR

Ben Boyde¹, Alan Wood^{1,*}, Gareth Dorrian¹, Richard A. Fallows, David Themens¹, Jens Mielich³, Sean Elvidge¹, Maaijke Mevius, Pietro Zucca, Bartosz Dabrowski⁴, Andrzej Krankowski⁴, Christian Vocks⁵, and Mario Bisi⁶

¹ Space Environment and Radio Engineering, School of Engineering, The University of Birmingham, Edgbaston, B15 2TT Birmingham, UK

² ASTRON - The Netherlands Institute for Radio Astronomy, Oude Hoogeveensedijk 4, 7991 PD Dwingeloo, The Netherlands

³ Leibniz Institute of Atmospheric Physics at the University of Rostock, 18556 Juliusruh, Germany

⁴ Space Radio-Diagnostics Research Centre, University of Warmia and Mazury, ul. Romana Prawocheskiego 9, 10-719 Olsztyn, Poland

⁵ Leibniz-Institut für Astrophysik Potsdam, An der Sternwarte 16, 14482 Potsdam, Germany

⁶ RAL Space, UKRI STFC, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Harwell Campus, OX11 0QX Oxfordshire, UK

Received 5 July 2022 / Accepted 28 August 2022

Abstract-Observations made using the LOw-Frequency ARray (LOFAR) between 10:15 and 11:48 UT on the 15th of September 2018 over a bandwidth of approximately 25–65 MHz contain discrete pseudo-periodic features of ionospheric origin. These features occur within a period of approximately 10 min and collectively last roughly an hour. They are strongly frequency dependent, broadening significantly in time towards the lower frequencies, and show an overlaid pattern of diffraction fringes. By modelling the ionosphere as a thin phase screen containing a wave-like disturbance, we are able to replicate the observations, suggesting that they are associated with small-scale travelling ionospheric disturbances (TIDs). This modelling indicates that the features observed here require a compact radio source at a low elevation and that the TID or TIDs in question have a wavelength <~30 km. Several features suggest the presence of deviations from an idealised sinusoidal wave form. These results demonstrate LOFAR's capability to identify and characterise small-scale ionospheric structures.



Keywords: Small-scale travelling ionospheric disturbance / phase screen / ionospheric physics

. M E

Cygnus A & Cassiopeia Observational Campaign LT16_002





 $\bigcirc 0$

Statistics from LT16_002

LT16_002: 1092 hours observing Cygnus A, September 2021 – November 2022 **Event:** Relative signal intensity at 44.5 MHz rises to 20 % above the median value

Sample Sample **Events Events** UT F10.7 cm Solar Flux Proportion Proportion Proportion Count Proportion Count Count Count All 251 100% All 100% 1092 100% 1092 100% 251 20-02 UT (night) Low (F10.7 <120) 638 58% 48% 394 36% 124 49% 120 02-08 UT (dawn) 310 28% 53 21% Medium(120 ← F10.7 365 33% 81 32% 22 High (F10.7 >150) 08-14 UT (day) 130 12% 9% 89 8% 50 20% 258 52 14-20 UT (dusk) 24% 21% Sample **Events** Kp Count Proportion Count Proportion Sample Events Season 100% 251 100% All 1092 Count Proportion Count Proportion Low (Kp <=2) 648 59% 198 79% All 251 1092 100% 100% Medium (2 <Kp <=4) 393 36% 106 42% Nov-Jan 42% 30 12% 461

High (Kp >4)

51

5%

TRUST

10

LEVERHULME

4%

Shows the percentage of events in this category (comparison to top row of table)

Effects of structures most commonly seen at night and in summer

29

139

53

Next: Develop a climatology and compare to climatologies of other features (sporadic-E, MSTIDs etc.)

12%

55%

21%



Feb-Apr

May-Jul

Aug-Oct



424

309

171

39%

28%

16%

Calibration Solutions: dTEC Work by Ben Boyde

 $\bigcirc 0$





Calibration Solutions: dTEC

Waves in the ionosphere

Using dTEC from calibration solutions

Over 1,000 hrs of data from LBA survey

Case study, statistical study to follow



LEVERHULME

TRUST



Interstellar and Ionospheric Scintillation Arcs

ØC





VOF

Scintillation Arcs: Secondary (Delay-Doppler) Spectra

The lonosphere



The Interstellar Medium



Variations on timescales of minutes, spatial scales of 10s – 100s of km

0.0 Doppler Frequency, Hz

0.2

-0.2

-0.4

-0.8

Fallows, R. A. et al., J. Sp. Weather Sp. Clim. 10, 16, 2020. doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2020010





Variations on timescales of months, spatial scales of 10s - 1000s of AU

Main, R. A. et al., MNRAS, 218, 1086-1097, 2023



Summary



atmosphere can be inferred

Calibration solutions give differential Total Electron Content (dTEC): Observe waves

Fallows, R. A. et al., J. Sp. Weather Sp. Clim. 10, 16, 2020. doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2020010

Boyde, B., et al., J. Sp. Weather Sp. Clim. 12, 34, 2022. doi.org/10.1051/swsc/2022030

Dorrian, G. et al., Space Weather, 21, e2022SW003198, 2023. doi.org/10.1029/2022SW003198





GNSS TEC Maps

GNSS TEC

Does not show structure

















Cassiopeia A: 18th – 19th August 2013

Secondary Spectra: Two distinct arcs				
	1.75			
L distance from observer to scattering screen	1.5			
C curvature of arc	1.25			
v velocity	1.0			
Cross-correlation analysis using different stations gives velocity	0.75			
Then determine altitude	0.5			
Two scattering screens	0.25			
Two Travelling Ionospheric Disturbances, with different wavelengths and directions	0.0			









The 2D FFT of the dynamic spectrum. β , the conjugate of wavelength, is used on the y-axis as it resamples in equal wavelength bins



Cygnus A: LOFAR Observations Source Az: 69° El: 37°



Velocity of Structure

Source Az: 69° El: 37° North east of station



Velocity of Structure

Gation	Lagcompared	Correlation at	Correlation at	Velocity (m/c)	
SLAUUT	to C9002 (s)	this lag	zero lag	velocity (m s)	
CS001	24.2	0.97	0.77	18.2	
CS002	-	1.00	1.00	-	
CS003	-1.5	1.00	1.00	-81.4	
CS004	4.0	0.99	0.98	30.8	
CS005	3.0	0.99	1.00	41.8	
CS006	0.0	0.99	0.99	-	
CS007	-2.5	0.99	0.99	-36.3	
CS011	0.0	0.99	0.99	-	
CS013	-7.5	0.94	0.92	-50.3	
CS017	-12.1	0.97	0.91	-40.9	
CS021	-1.5	0.96	0.97	-358.1	
CS024	26.2	0.96	0.68	32.3	
CS026	-21.6	0.94	0.79	-40.1	
CS028	-47.8	0.84	0.55	-25.7	
CS030	-24.2	0.93	0.81	-40.3	
CS031	3.0	0.94	0.94	258.0	
CS032	35.2	0.96	0.63	20.7	
CS101	-45.3	0.88	0.58	-24.6	
CS103	-46.3	0.93	0.55	-39.2	
CS201	-5.5	0.95	0.94	-165.5	
CS301	49.8	0.93	0.31	20.9	
CS302	125.8	0.82	0.09	16.3	
CS401	33.7	0.95	0.67	27.9	
CS501	-42.8	0.86	0.61	-29.6	

Interested in the cross-correlations which imply the lowest velocities



Structure is moving northeast at \sim 20 m s⁻¹





Context

Heliogeophysical conditions: Quiet

Geomagnetic: Kp: 1 aa: 2 nT Dst: -3 nT Solar Activity: $F_{10.7}$ cm solar radio flux: 72 sfu No significant solar flares

Solar wind: IMF Bt: ~4 nT Velocity: 420 km s⁻¹

Data from: www.ukssdc.ac.uk, http://isgi.unistra.fr, https://data.nas.nasa.gov/helio/portals/solarflares, https://cdaw.gsfc.nasa.gov/CME_list/radio/waves_type2.html and https://cdaweb.gsfc.nasa.gov/index.html

LEVERHULME

TRUST









Need to account for the elevation of the source







Need to account for the elevation of the source



Get an enhancement of the signal intensity on the trailing edge of the feature





Need to account for the elevation of the source



Get an enhancement of the signal intensity on the trailing edge of the feature

